CA-PMM		
Project Name:	ISAWS Migration	<u></u>
OCIO Project #:	0530-205	— Ctatus Danaut
Department:	Office of Systems Integration	Status Report
Revision Date:	5/1/09	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Progress Report -- Team Member to Project Manager

Current Task Summary

Accomplished this week Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks		Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
Status Summary	Yes/No		Explanation	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?				
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?				

CA-F	PMM
------	-----

Project Name: ISAWS Migration	
OCIO Project #: 0530-205	Otatus Dayant
Department: Office of Systems Integration	Status Report
Revision Date: 5/1/09	

Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status

Status Report - Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

C	Δ	_	P	Ν	1	١	1
_	_				, .		•

Project Name:	ISAWS Migration	
---------------	-----------------	--

OCIO Project #: 0530-205

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/1/09

Status Report

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

Project Name: ISAWS Migration	
OCIO Project #: 0530-205	Ctatus Danam
Department: Office of Systems Integration	Status Report
Revision Date: 5/1/09	

Current Status and Accomplishments:

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Deliverables completed: Site Preparation Complete: Calaveras County, General Change Management Plan, Master Training Delivery Plan, Policy, Review and Training Environment Design, Equipment and Software Acquisition Plan Update #9, System Operations and Support Plan #3, Migration Project Control Update #6, Migration Workplan Update #19.

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
System Test	4/3/09	4/3/09	Completed		4/3/09
User Acceptance Test	6/10/09				
Wave I Implementation	11/2/09				
Wave 2 Implementation	3/1/10				
Wave 3 Implementation	6/1/10				

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	Х			
Milestones	Х			
Deliverables	Х			
Resources	Х			

Project Name: ISAWS Migration

OCIO Project #: 0530-205

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/1/09

Status F	Report
----------	--------

OneTime Cost	X		
Continuing Cost	X		

	Λ.			N	л	N	
L	μ	-	~	Ν	Л	И	۷

OCIO Project #: 0530-205

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/1/09

Status Report

Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
System Test	4/3/09	4/3/09	Completed		4/3/09
User Acceptance Test	6/10/09				
Wave 1 Implementation	11/2/09				
Wave 2 Implementation	3/1/10				
Wave 3 Implementation	6/1/10				

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	X			
Milestones	X			
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
One Time Cost	X			

Project Name: ISAWS Migration

OCIO Project #: 0530-205

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/1/09

Continuing Cost	X			
-----------------	---	--	--	--

Status Report

Project Name:	ISAWS Migration
---------------	-----------------

OCIO Project #: 0530-205

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/1/09

Status Report

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	
1. Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	0
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
	Strong Viability	0	
2. Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	0
	Weak Viability	2	
	<5%	0	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	0
	>10%	2	
4. Cook to Data va Fatiro at al Cook	<5%	0	
Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost- to-Date (higher)	5% to 10%	1	0
to-Date (fligher)	>10%	2	
E. Hink Dunk ak 25to Hink have a st	0 to 3	0	
High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	4 to 6	1	0
NISKS	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	0
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
	Fully engaged	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	0
	Inadequate enagement	2	
	Strong alignment	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	0
	Weak or no alignment	2	
	Strong	0	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0
	Weak	2	

Project Name: ISAWS Migration	
-------------------------------	--

OCIO Project #: 0530-205

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Revision Date: 5/1/09

Status Report

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	0
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	
11. Milestone Hit Rate	>90% on time	0	
(rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	0
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2	
40. Dolivership Lit Date	>90% on time	0	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate	80-90% on time	1	0
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	
	>90% assigned and available	0	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0
	<80% assigned and available	2	
4.4. Overstine a litilization	<15%	0	
14. Overtime Utilization(% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	0
(% or enort triat is overtime)	>25%	2	
	Highly Effective	0	
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0
	Ineffective	2	
		Total	0

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

This is a high degree of confidence and collaboration between the C-IV project and the C-IV contractor (Accenture). Accenture has extensive experience in implementing large systems. Accenture has successfully implemented the orginal four C-IV counties and will be doing a similar type of implementation for the 35 ISAWS counties.